

Auckland Drive: Feedback to Options Appraisal / 30.6.25

Option1: No structural changes to the location with crews collecting following a H&S site specific Method Statement to collect and return bins directly to each property. All residents with glass boxes moved to 140L glass bin.				Feedback from Highways		Feedback from Housing	
Additional detail	Pros	Cons	Cost	Pros	Cons	Pros	Cons
- Method Statement will require bins to be walked from and returned to each property, along slopes and up and down steps Comms: Letter to residents explaining collection method and asking them not to overfill bins	- Already collecting bins from this location - Method Statement will provide clear and safe site-specific instructions - Glass boxes moved to 140L bins - Residents informed by letter	- Remains as time consuming exercise and the need to retain a third additional crew member - Crews may take short cuts against Method Statement causing injuries and sick days - Requires regular manager supervision - Slopes and steps remain hazardous and particularly in inclement weather conditions	140L bins x 49 [redacted] x 49 = [redacted] Printing & postage [redacted] Continued additional crew member x 1.5 per week = [redacted] per week Total = [redacted] + rolling [redacted] per week	No comment provided	No comment provided	No comment provided	Time taken in emptying Individual wheelie bins and causing delays to traffic
Option 2: No structural changes to the location with crews collecting following a H&S site specific Method Statement to collect bins directly from each property with bins only being partly returned to a designated point along each slope, requiring residents to collect their own empty bin(s) and taking them back to their property. All residents with glass boxes moved to 140L glass bin.				Feedback from Highways		Feedback from Housing	
Additional detail	Pros	Cons	Cost	Pros	Cons	Pros	Cons

- Method Statement will require bins to be walked from and returned partly to each property, along designated slopes and up and down steps Comms: Letter to residents explaining collection method and asking them not to overfill bins	- Already collecting bins from this location - Method Statement will provide clear and safe site-specific instructions - Glass boxes moved to 140L bins - Time will be saved by only part returning bins - Residents informed by letter	- Minimal time saved with bins being partly returned - Full bins still brought down slopes and steps. - Still requires a third additional crew member - Access along slopes may be blocked by residents to collecting their bins - Generate customer complaints. - Slopes and steps remain hazardous and particularly in inclement weather conditions	140L bins x 49 = Printing & postage Continued additional crew member x 1.5 per week = per week Total = + rolling per week	No comment provided	No comment provided	No comment provided	Time taken in emptying Individual wheelie bins and causing delays to traffic
Option 3: Residents at key addresses are given Seagull Bags instead of bins for both refuse and recycling with crews using 'service' bins to empty the Seagull Bags into, ready to tip into their respective vehicle. All residents with glass boxes to remain on boxes.				Feedback from Highways		Feedback from Housing	
Additional detail	Pros	Cons	Cost	Pros	Cons	Pros	Cons
'Service' bin options 1) Resident at key address agrees to their bins being used as the 'service' bins	Time saved by reduced number of bin journeys up and down slopes and steps.	Dependant on 'service' bins being delivered by Street Cleanings or key resident	Seagull Bags x 94 (94 x =)	No comment provided	No comment provided	No comment provided	Time taken in emptying Individual wheelie bins and

2) Street Cleansing team to drop 'service' bins up in the morning and collect end of the day. 3) 'Service' bin stored / chained up in nearby location. Seagull Bags - Residents place refuse in black sacks into the refuse Seagull Bag and DMR loose into recycling seagull bag. Comms: Letter and instruction leaflet to residents explaining collection method and asking them not to overfill Seagull Bags and glass boxes.	- Reduced injuries and sick days - May not require third crew member - Opportunity to trial Sea Gull Bags which could be rolled out to other 'awkward' locations. - Residents informed by letter and instruction leaflet.	issued with bins and agreeing to using their bins as 'service' bins. - Requires 'service' bin journeys up and down slopes and steps. - May still require third crew member - New service method for residents to adjust to. - Slopes and steps remain hazardous and particularly in inclement weather conditions	Letters and instruction leaflet [REDACTED] Total = [REDACTED] + rolling [REDACTED] per week - tbc				causing delays to traffic
Option 4: Communal bins placed in roadside parking bays on the opposite side of the road x 3 locations, each containing 4 x 1100L bins for refuse, 3 x 1100L bins for recycling, 1 x 1100L bin for glass (total 1100L bins per location = 8)				Feedback from Highways		Feedback from Housing (their preferred option)	
Additional detail	Pros	Cons	Cost	Pros	Cons	Pros	Cons
- Bins will be locked with apertures - Bin area could be partially screened - optional - Permission will need to be sort through Planning & Highways	Significant time saved by no longer having to collect and return bins up and down slopes and steps to each property.	- Loss of x parking bays - Residents in properties on the suggested bin area side of the road may complain about waste blowing	1100L bins x 22 [REDACTED] x 22 = [REDACTED] Letters and instruction leaflet [REDACTED]	There doesn't appear to be any parking restrictions along this road	- Placing bins in a live carriageway is not appropriate - Will cause obstructions	- Quicker to empty bins - Reduced traffic delays	- Costly and who will pay? - Housing owns 15 of the 49 properties

• Comms: Letter and instruction leaflet to residents explaining collection method and not to leave side waste / fly-tips	• Third crew member no longer required • Injuries and sick days mitigated • Reduced collection noise from bumping bins up and down steps • Number of bins can be adjusted if required • Hazards cause by inclement weather conditions mitigated • Successful example in Hove to provide info	into their gardens, noise from bin emptying. • Loss of parking spaces. • Fly-tipping may occur requiring regular monitoring and clearances	Optional bin post fixing x 22 (22 x [redacted] = [redacted]) Optional screening x 3 (£ x [redacted] = [redacted]) Total = [redacted] + optional fixing / screens – tbc		• It's very tight with the parking on one side of the road • Already a squeeze for two-way traffic • Not the right solution • A small part of Auckland Drive is a bus route which is narrow with parked cars • A risk residents will park cars opposite marked bays & bins entirely blocking the road. • Bins will not be properly visible / reflective at		
---	---	--	--	--	---	--	--

					night causing hazards		
Option 5: Three communal bin store areas cut into the bank at roadside level each containing 4 x 1100L bins for refuse, 3 x 1100L bins for refuse, 1 x 1100LL bin for glass (total 1100L bins per location = 8)				Feedback from Highways (their preferred option)		Feedback from Housing (their preferred option)	
Additional detail	Pros	Cons	Cost	Pros	Cons	Pros	Cons
- Bins will be locked with apertures - Bins will be partially screened by bank - Permission may be need by Planning, Highways and Housing Comms: Letter and instruction leaflet to residents explaining collection method and not to leave side waste / fly-tips	- Significant time saved by no longer having to collect and return bins up and down slopes and steps to each property. - Third crew member no longer required - Injuries and sick days mitigated - Reduced collection noise from bumping bins up and down steps - Hazards on slopes and steps mitigated	- Residents will have to use the road as their main access point to use the bins - Residents on the opposite side of the road may complain about waste blowing into their gardens, noise from bin emptying. - Fly-tipping may occur requiring regular monitoring and clearances	1100L bins x 22 = Groundworks e.g. concrete pads and retaining walls x 3 = Letters and instruction leaflet Total =	Ideally near the existing stairs	Cost may be prohibitive	- Quicker to empty bins - Reduced traffic delays	- Costly and who will pay? - Bank not owned by Housing - Housing owns 15 of the 49 properties
Option 6: Steps at the bottom, mid and top points of the slopes are converted into slopes with crews collecting following a H&S site specific method statement. All residents with glass boxes moved to 140L glass bin.				Feedback from Highways		Feedback from Housing	
Additional detail	Pros	Cons	Cost	Pros	Cons	Pros	Cons
Method Statement will require bins to be walked from and returned to each property along slopes.	- Already collecting bins from this location - Method Statement will provide clear and	Converting steps to slopes may not be feasible due to slope gradient regulations.	140L bins x 49 = Groundworks & concrete slopes x 8 (8	N/A	N/A	tbc	tbc

Comms: Letter to residents explaining collection method and asking them not to overfill bins	- safe site-specific instructions - Glass boxes moved to 140L bins - Residents informed by letter	- Remains a time-consuming exercise and the need to retain a third additional crew member - Crews may take short cuts against Method Statement causing injuries and sick days - Requires regular manager supervision - Slopes remain hazardous and particularly in inclement weather conditions.	- x [redacted] = [redacted] - Letters and instruction leaflet £100 - Total = [redacted]				
Option 7: Locate bins belonging to residents on top of the bank onto the opposite pavement along with existing bins belonging to residents living on this side of the road (proposed by Highways)				Feedback from Highways		Feedback from Housing	
Additional detail	Pros	Cons	Cost	Pros	Cons	Pros	Cons
- Number of properties on the 'non-bank' side = - Total number of existing bins already on the pavement = - Potential bins = Comms: Letter to residents explaining collection method	- Already collecting bins from this location - Glass boxes moved to 140L bins for all residents	- Reduced pavement accessibility - Goes against 'cluttered pavement' policy	- Replace broken bins - House number labels (47 x 3 = 141) + properties opposite = tbc	Highway unaffected	Not ideal from a pedestrian accessibility perspective	tbc	tbc

and asking them not to overfill bins			Total = 				
---	--	--	-----------------------	--	--	--	--